```
ALEXANDER B. CVITAN (SBN 81746), and
   MARSHA M. HAMASAKI (SBN 102720), Members of
   REICH, ADELL & CVITAN
   A Professional Law Corporation
   3550 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000
   Los Angeles, California 90010-2421
   Telephone: (213) 386-3860
   Facsimile: (213) 386-5583
5
   E-Mails: alc@rac-law.com; marshah@rac-law.com
6
   Attorneys for Construction Laborers Trust Funds for
   Southern California Administrative Company, LLC
7
8
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
            CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
10
11
   CONSTRUCTION LABORERS TRUST FUNDS ] CASE NUMBER
   FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
12
   ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY, a Delaware ] CV-08-5726 SJO (VBKx)
   limited liability company,
13
                                         ORDER RE CONTEMPT
                  Plaintiff,
14
                                       ] HEARING:
        VS.
15
                                                 NOVEMBER 30, 2009
                                       l DATE:
16
   WHITE'S EXCAVATING, INC., a
                                         TIME:
                                                 10:00 A.M.
                                       ] PLACE:
   California corporation; and
                                                 COURTROOM 1
17
   DANN WHITE, an individual,
                                                 312 No. Spring St.
                                                 Los Angeles, CA
18
                  Defendants.
19
20
        I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND
21
22
        1.
             This action was brought by Plaintiff, CONSTRUCTION
23
   LABORERS TRUST FUNDS FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE
24
   COMPANY, a Delaware limited liability company on behalf of
25
   LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA.
26
   CONSTRUCTION LABORERS PENSION TRUST FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA,
27
   CONSTRUCTION LABORERS VACATION TRUST FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA,
28
   LABORERS TRAINING AND RE-TRAINING TRUST FUND FOR SOUTHERN
```

- CALIFORNIA, FUND FOR CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY ADVANCEMENT, CENTER FOR CONTRACT COMPLIANCE and LABORERS CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION TRUST FUND FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (collectively, "TRUST FUNDS"), against Defendants, WHITE'S EXCAVATING, INC., ("EMPLOYER"); and DANN WHITE, ("WHITE").
- 2. On January 28, 2009, the Court entered its Order
 Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment, Final Order for
 Accounting and Order for Permanent Injunction ("Order") against
 Defendants, EMPLOYER, and D. WHITE which requires the EMPLOYER to
 produce its books and records to the TRUST FUNDS for an audit and
 to comply with EMPLOYER'S reporting obligations to timely pay and
 report contributions to the TRUST FUNDS, and Judgment and Judgment
 Nunc Pro Tunc providing for the production of documents for the
 audit and for compliance with the submission of reports was
 entered by the Court on January 28, 2009 and February 13, 2009
 (collectively "Judgment"). The Order and Judgment require
 EMPLOYER to produce its books and records to the TRUST FUNDS for
 and audit covering the period from September 2007 through date of
 the audit.
- 3. On March 15, 2009, the Court's Judgment and Judgment Nunc Pro Tunc were personally served on EMPLOYER and D. WHITE.

 EMPLOYER and D. WHITE failed to comply with the Court's Order and Judgment.
- 4. Upon application by Plaintiff for an Order To Show Cause Re Contempt with regard to EMPLOYER and D. WHITE'S failure to obey the Court's Order for Accounting, and Judgment, and with notice to EMPLOYER, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause re Contempt and set the contempt hearing for September 14, 2009. The Order to

Show Cause re Contempt was personally served on EMPLOYER and D. 2 WHITE on August 10, 2009. On September 14, 2009, D. WHITE failed 3 to appear at the hearing; however, the Court was advised that D. 4 WHITE was scheduling an audit with the TRUST FUNDS, and the hearing was continued was continued to October 13, 2009. The 5 October 13, 2009 hearing was again continued to November 16, 2009, 6 7 to allow D. WHITE time to gather additional records for the audit. 8 On November 16, 2009, Plaintiff's counsel appeared for the hearing, and no appearance was made by EMPLOYER, D. WHITE or by 10 any counsel for them. Plaintiff's counsel indicated to the Court 11 that although D. WHITE produced records; he has failed to fully 12 comply with the Court's Order and Judgment.

II. **FINDINGS**

- EMPLOYER and WHITE were personally served with the Court's Order and Judgment which required EMPLOYER'S compliance with an audit by the TRUST FUNDS.
- EMPLOYER and D. WHITE have failed to fully comply with the Court's Order and Judgment.
- EMPLOYER and D. WHITE were personally served with the Court's Order to Show Cause re Contempt on August 10, 2009; however, EMPLOYER and D. WHITE failed to appear for the hearings set for September 14, 2009 and November 16, 2009.
- EMPLOYER has not shown cause why they should not be held 4. in contempt for failing to obey the Court's Final Order for 26 Accounting.

27 //

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Civil contempt occurs when a party fails to comply with a court order after having notice of the order. General Signal Corporation v. Donallco, Inc., 787 F.2d 1276, 1379 (9th Cir. 1986), United States v. Rycander, 714 F.2d 996, 1003 (9th Cir. 1983), cert. denied 467 U.S. 1209, 104 S.Ct. 2398, 81 L.Ed.2d 355 (1984).
- 2. District Courts have the power to punish disobedience to court orders by both civil and criminal contempt. <u>United States</u>
 v. Rose, 806 F.2d 931 (9th Cir. 1986).

EMPLOYER and D. WHITE were personally served with this

Court's Order for Accounting and Judgment, and have failed and refused to obey the Court's Order. Further, EMPLOYER and D. WHITE after notice and personal service of the Court's Order to Show

Cause re Contempt, have failed to appear before this Court to show cause why they should not be held in contempt for their refusal to obey the Court's Order. A corporation and its officers with full knowledge of the Court's Order can be held liable for civil contempt. Peterson v. Highland Music, Inc., 140 F.3d 1313, 1323-24 (9th Cir.1998); 14 Cal.Jur.3d(Rev)Part 1, § 29, p.87.

The Court therefore finds that WHITES EXCAVATING, INC., and DANN WHITE are in civil contempt for their refusal to obey the Court's Order and Judgment for Accounting.

III. ORDER RE CIVIL CONTEMPT

Based upon the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law and good cause appearing therefor,

28 /

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that:
2	1. EMPLOYER and D. WHITE are in civil contempt for failing
3	and refusing to obey this Court's Order for Accounting and
4	Judgment entered January 28, 2009.
5	2. The hearing is set again for November 30, 2009, at 10:00
6	a.m., in Courtroom 1 of the United States District Court for the
7	Central District of California, located at 312 No. Spring Street,
8	Los Angeles, California 90012.
9	3. If EMPLOYER continues to fail to comply with this
10	Court's Final Order for Accounting, and fails to appear at the
11	continued hearing set for November 30, 2009, a bench warrant will
12	issue for the arrest of DANN WHITE.
13	\leq 0 \sim t
14	DATED: November 18, 2009
15	HON. S. JAMES OTERO, Judge of the United States District Court
16	Central District of California
17	
18	
19	
20	DDEGENTED DV.
21	PRESENTED BY: REICH, ADELL & CVITAN
22	A Professional Law Corporation
23	
24	By:/S/ MARSHA M. HAMASAKI
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to
5	Suite 2000, Los Angeles, California 90010-2421.
	On November 17, 2009 , I served the foregoing document described as [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONTEMPT on the interested parties in this action by placing this action by United States
7	
8	the eniginal V a true gener themself
9	the original X a true copy thereof
10	enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:
11	Dann White
12	White's Excavating, Inc. 9452 Telephone Road, #159
13	Ventura, CA 93004
14	(By Mail) As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the
15	firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would
16	be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in
17	the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal
18	cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
19	Executed on November 17, 2009, at Los Angeles, California.
20	(By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be
21	delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee.
22	(Federal Court) I declare that I am employed in the
23	office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
24	
25	/S/
26	Virginia Alvarez
27	
28	